8 ROBERT HALF INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

VS.

TRACI MURRAY,

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. CV F 07-0799 LJO SMS

ORDER REQUESTING SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

AND RELATED COUNTER CLAIM

Trial in this matter is set for August 18, 2008 in Department 4. Pending before this Court is defendant's motion for summary judgment. Defendant Murray signed a non-solicitation provision which she now claims is unenforceable because it is not a narrow restriction on trade. "Except as provided in this chapter, every contract by which anyone is restrained from engaging in a lawful profession, trade, or business of any kind is to that extent void." Bus. & Prof.C. § 16600. Generally, a covenant not to solicit the former employer's customers is treated as a covenant not to compete and is invalid under Bus. & Prof.C. § 16600. It is "allowable only when they protect trade secrets or confidential propriety information." *Thompson v. Impaxx, Inc.*, 113 CA4th 1425, 1429 (2003). An allowable exception is that the agreement's restraint on trade is narrow in scope. *General Comm'l Packaging, Inc. v. TPS Package Engineering, Inc.*, 126 F3d 1131, 1134 (9th Cir. 1997). No reported California state court decision has endorsed this narrow restraint exception, and review has been granted in a case that has rejected it. This issue is presently before the California Supreme Court in *Edwards v. Arthur Andersen, LLP*, S147190, which was argued on June 4, 2008. Neither party addressed the case or the potential impact of the

California's Supreme Court's decision on the instant case. Accordingly, the Court requests the parties each provide supplemental briefing on this issue. Briefs shall be filed no later than June 18, 2008 and shall be limited to a maximum of seven pages. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE **Dated:** June 11, 2008